


Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Sections Decommissioning Programmes



FINAL Version 03 July 2025

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Document ID:		M3525-ENQ-THI-DN-0000-REP-0008	
Document Classification:		PUBLIC	
Document Ownership:		Decommissioning	
Date of Document:	22/06/2022	Signature	Date
Prepared by:	S. Axon	<i>S. Axon</i>	03/07/25
Reviewed by:	K. Langworthy	<i>K. Langworthy</i>	03/07/25
Approved by:	M. Bean	<i>M. Bean</i>	03/07/25

REVISION RECORD

Revision No.	Date of Revision	Reason for Issue
A1	29/03/23	Issued for review and comment
A2	30/03/23	Updated following OPRED review
A3	20/06/23	Issued for Statutory Consultation
A4	24/02/25	Update issued
A5	21/03/25	Updated to reflect additional comments from OPRED. Anode sleds and anode pods added.
A6	18/04/25	Updated to reflect additional comments from OPRED
A7	19/05/25	Updated to reflect additional comments from OPRED
A8	02/06/25	Updated to reflect additional comments from OPRED
C1	03/07/25	FINAL Version

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Company	No. of copies
Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning	1 electronic
GMG, NFFO, NIFPO, SFF	1 electronic
Britoil Limited	1 electronic
Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited	1 electronic

TABLE OF CONTENTS			INST	P/L
1. Executive summary	9	✓	✓	
1.1 Decommissioning Programmes	9	✓	✓	
1.2 Requirement for Decommissioning Programme	9	✓	✓	
1.3 Introduction	9	✓	✓	
1.4 Thistle overview	10	✓	✓	
1.5 Summary of proposed decommissioning programme	13	✓	✓	
1.6 Field locations including field layout and adjacent facilities	15	✓	✓	
1.7 Industrial implications	20	✓	✓	
2. Description of items to be decommissioned	21	✓	✓	
2.1 Installation: upper jacket and anode sleds and pods	21	✓		
2.2 Thistle & Don risers	34	✓	✓	
2.3 Inventory estimates	38	✓		
3. Removal and disposal methods	40	✓	✓	
3.1 Use of waste framework directive	40	✓	✓	
3.2 Upper jacket	40	✓		
3.3 Thistle & Don risers	44	✓	✓	
3.4 Anode sleds and anode pods	45	✓		
3.5 Waste streams	45	✓		
4. Environmental Appraisal	47	✓	✓	
4.1 Environmental sensitivities	47	✓	✓	
4.2 Impact assessment	47	✓	✓	
4.3 Impact management	52	✓	✓	
5. Interested party consultations	54	✓	✓	
5.1 Overview	54	✓	✓	
5.2 Consultation summary	54	✓	✓	
6. Programme management	56	✓	✓	
6.1 Project management and verification	56	✓	✓	
6.2 Post-decommissioning debris clearance and verification	56	✓	✓	
6.3 Schedule	56	✓	✓	
6.4 Interim monitoring and evaluation	58	✓	✓	
6.5 Costs	58	✓	✓	
6.6 Post-decommissioning monitoring and evaluation	58	✓	✓	
6.7 Close out	58	✓	✓	
7. References	59	✓	✓	
Appendix A Thistle baseline environment	60	✓	✓	
Appendix A.1 Summary of characteristics & sensitivities	60	✓	✓	
Appendix B Consultee correspondence	61	✓	✓	
Appendix B.1 Public Notices	61	✓	✓	
Appendix B.1 GMG – Mr Alex Riddell, via email	62	✓	✓	
Appendix B.2 NFFO – Mr Ian Rowe, via email	63	✓	✓	
Appendix B.3 NIFPO – Mr Wayne Sloan, via email	64	✓	✓	
Appendix B.4 SFF – Mr Steven Alexander & Mr Andrew Third	65	✓	✓	
Appendix C Jacket Schematics	66	✓	✓	
Appendix C.1 Jacket gridline 2	66	✓	✓	
Appendix C.2 Jacket gridline 9	67			
Appendix D Partner Letters of Support	68			
Appendix D.1 Britoil Limited	68			
Appendix D.2 Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited	69			

FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1.4.1: Drill cuttings mound – plan view of MBES data (2021).....	11
Figure 1.6.1: Thistle Field location in UKCS	15
Figure 1.6.2: Thistle adjacent facilities.....	16
Figure 1.6.3: Thistle 500m zone	17
Figure 1.6.4: Thistle jacket - location of anode sleds and anode pods	18
Figure 2.1.1: Schematic of Thistle jacket.....	22
Figure 2.1.2: Thistle ‘pontoon’ leg sections (Frame 9)	24
Figure 2.1.3: Thistle leg sections (Frame 2).....	25
Figure 2.1.4: Thistle pile type and number of each type	26
Figure 2.1.5: Thistle pile schematic using four different types of pile configurations	27
Figure 2.1.6: Thistle jacket fabricated at Greythorpe Dry Dock, Middlesbrough	29
Figure 2.1.7: Thistle jacket immediately prior to upending	30
Figure 2.1.8: Anode sleds	33
Figure 2.1.9: Anode pods	33
Figure 2.3.1: Pie-chart of estimated inventories for Thistle upper jacket	38
Figure 2.3.2: Pie-chart of estimated inventories for anode sleds and pods	38
Figure 2.3.3: Pie-chart of estimated inventories for PL4555 catenary riser	39
Figure 3.2.1: Thistle COS tanks inside 500m zone	41
Figure 3.2.2: Diesel tank in leg G9	43
Figure 4.2.1: Protected sites around Thistle	49
Figure 6.3.1: Gantt-chart of project plan	57
Figure B.1.1: The Edinburgh Gazette (L), The Times (R) (20 June 2023).....	61
Figure C.1.1: Thistle jacket gridline 2	66
Figure C.2.1: Thistle jacket gridline 9	67
Table 1.4.1: Installation being decommissioned.....	10
Table 1.4.2 Drill Cuttings pile	10
Table 1.4.3: Section 29 notice holder details - installation.....	12
Table 1.4.4: Section 29 notice holder details - Thistle risers (PL13, PL74, PL75).....	12
Table 1.4.5: Section 29 notice holder details - Thistle riser PL4555.....	12
Table 1.4.6: Section 29 notice holder details - Don risers (PL598, PL599, PL600, PLU6267).....	12
Table 1.5.1: Summary of decommissioning programme	13
Table 1.6.1: Adjacent facilities.....	19
Table 2.1.1: Surface facilities information.....	21
Table 2.1.2: Key elevations shown in pile configuration diagram	27
Table 2.1.3: Subsea facilities information	30
Table 2.2.1: Riser information.....	34
Table 3.2.1: Upper jacket	42
Table 3.2.2: Thistle jacket removal method	44
Table 3.3.1: Riser decommissioning proposals.....	44
Table 3.4.1: Subsea installations	45
Table 3.5.1: Waste stream management methods.....	45
Table 3.5.2: Inventory disposition	45
Table 3.5.3: Re-use, recycle & disposal aspirations for recovered material	46
Table 4.2.1 Key potential impacts assessed	48
Table 4.2.1: Environmental impacts	50
Table 4.3.1: Key Control and Mitigation Measures	52

Table 5.2.1: Summary of stakeholder comments	54
Table A.1.1: Summary of environmental characteristics and sensitivities	60

TABLE OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	EXPLANATION
~	Approximate
<	Less than
>	More than
1568 (Figure 1.6.2)	DANICE
1737 (Figure 1.6.2)	CANTAT-3
Britoil	Britoil Limited
CANTAT-3	The fibre-optic submarine telecommunications cable system CANTAT-3 became ready for service around November 1994. The system has a length of 2,500km and is owned and/or operated by Faroese Telecom. CANTAT-3 has landing points in Denmark, Germany, Faeroe Islands, and Iceland.
Chrysaor	Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited (refer Table 1.6.1)
CNRI	CNR International (UK) Limited (refer Table 1.6.1)
COABIS	Component Orientated Anomaly Based Inspection System™ (database)
COS	Crude Oil Storage (tanks)
DANICE	The DANICE submarine communications cable system transits 2,250km of the North Atlantic Ocean and the North Sea to connect Landeyjarsandur, Iceland and Blaabjerg, Denmark. The cable went into operation in November 2009. The owner of the cable is Farice ehf, a Faroese telecommunications provider.
DGFI	Dunlin Fuel Gas Import
DP	Decommissioning Programme (refer Table 1.6.1)
DSV	Dive Support Vessel
El.	Elevation (relative to LAT)
EMT	Environmental Management Team
EnQuest	EnQuest Heather Limited
Est	Estimate (used in Table 2.2.1)
FBE	Fibre Bonded Epoxy
FPSO	Flowing Production Storage and Offloading (Vessel)
FPU	Floating Production Unit (refer Table 1.6.1)
GBS	Gravity Based Structure (concrete) (refer Table 1.6.1)
GMG	Global Marine Group
HLV	Heavy Lift (Crane) Vessel
ICES	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
ID	Inside diameter (used in Figure 2.1.5)
IMO	International Maritime Organisation
in	inch
IPR	Interim Pipeline Regime

ABBREVIATION	EXPLANATION
Jacket	substructure that supports topsides
Jacket footings	Part of jacket or substructure resting on the seabed up to the highest point of the piles, or a part of the steel installation that is so closely connected as to present major engineering problems in being severed (refer [6]).
km	Kilometre
L	Length
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
m	Metre(s)
m ²	Square Metre(s)
m ³	Cubic Metre(s)
m/s	Metres per second
MARPOL	The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
MBES	Multi-Beam Echo Sounder (which is a sonar-based seabed imaging system)
MSF	Module Support Frame
N,S,E,W	North, South, East, West
n/a	Not Applicable
NFFO	National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations
NIFPO	Northern Ireland Fish Producers Organisation
NLGP	Northern Leg Gas Pipeline
NORM	Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material
NSTA	North Sea Transition Authority
OD	Outside diameter (used in Figure 2.1.5)
OPRED	Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning
OSPAR	Oslo Paris Convention
PL	Pipeline Identification numbers (UK)
PL600	PL600, PL600.1 through PL600.6 inclusive
PON2	Petroleum Operations Notice for reporting the loss of dumping of synthetic materials and other refuse at sea
SALM	Single Anchor Leg Mooring
SFF	Scottish Fishermen's Federation
SLV	Single Lift Vessel
SOPEP	Ship Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
SSCV	Semi-Submersible Crane Vessel
SSIV	Subsea Isolation Valve
TAQA	TAQA Europa B.V. (refer Table 1.6.1)
Te	Tonne

ABBREVIATION	EXPLANATION
TFSW	Transfrontier Shipment of Waste
Thistle	Thistle Alpha
UK	United Kingdom
UKCS	United Kingdom Continental Shelf
W	Width
WGS84	World Geodetic System 1984
WT	Wall thickness (used in Figure 2.1.5)
x	Number of...

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Decommissioning Programmes

This document presents four Decommissioning Programmes. One for the Thistle Alpha (referred to as Thistle) upper jacket and one for the Thistle pipeline risers PL13, PL74, PL75, one for PL4555 catenary riser, and one for the Don pipeline PL598, PL599, PL600 and PLU6267 risers. Included within the Thistle upper jacket DP is the removal of 22x anode sleds and 16x anode pods.

The Thistle jacket footings, topsides and pipeline infrastructure are covered by notices under Section 29 of the Petroleum Act 1998. The Thistle topsides Decommissioning Programme was approved 23 December 2021. The decommissioning of the subsea infrastructure, jacket footings and the Don pipelines inside the Thistle 500m safety zone are subject to separate Decommissioning Programmes.

As the weight of the Thistle jacket is larger than 10,000 tonnes and due to complexities associated with complete removal, it is in a category where derogation from the requirements of OSPAR Decision 98/3 [6] may be considered. The Decommissioning Programmes contained herein concern the removal of the upper jacket and associated risers, whereby the jacket will be severed between 65 m and 75 m below Lowest Astronomical Tide ('LAT') with the upper section (upper jacket and risers) being removed. The water depth is ~162 m.

The removal of the topsides, upper jacket, risers, anode sleds and anode pods and subsea infrastructure will not compromise any available decommissioning options for the Thistle jacket footings.

Although decommissioning of the Thistle upper jacket and risers and removal of anode sleds and pods is being treated in this document as part of the Thistle project, EnQuest will continue to explore cost saving synergies with other projects.

1.2 Requirement for Decommissioning Programme

Installations: In accordance with the Petroleum Act 1998, EnQuest Heather Limited (as operator of the Thistle field), and on behalf of the Section 29 notice holders (Table 1.4.3), is applying to the Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning (OPRED) to obtain approval for removal of the Thistle upper jacket, risers, anode sleds and anode pods as detailed in Section 2 of this document. Partner Letters of Support will be provided directly to OPRED.

Pipelines (Thistle & Don risers): In accordance with the Petroleum Act 1998, EnQuest Heather Limited (as operator of the Thistle field), and on behalf of the Section 29 notice holders (Table 1.4.4), is applying to the Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning (OPRED) to obtain approval for decommissioning of the risers connected to the Thistle upper jacket as detailed in Section 2 of this document. Partner Letters of Support will be provided directly to OPRED.

In conjunction with public, stakeholder and regulatory consultation, this Decommissioning Programme document is submitted in compliance with national and international regulations and OPRED guidance notes [1]. The schedule outlined in this document is for a 7-year period to remove the anode sleds, pods and the upper jacket and risers down to the top of the jacket footings and return it to shore for recycling, and earliest removal and disposal due to begin in 2025.

1.3 Introduction

The Thistle field was discovered in 1972 in the fourth UK acreage licensing round in block 211/18 and 211/19 (licenses P236 and P475). The field is produced over the Thistle Alpha platform (here

after referred to as the Thistle A platform), a fixed installation providing manned production, drilling, and utilities facilities. The Thistle A installation is situated in block 211/18a of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf and operated by EnQuest Heather Limited. The Thistle field is located ~201km North-East of Shetland, in a water depth of ~162m.

The Thistle jacket was installed in 1976 with the topsides' modules being installed in the following year. Oil production commenced in February 1978.

In order to compensate for a failing Cathodic Protection system for the Thistle jacket a number of anode sleds and anode pods were installed in 2016/17 and 2021 respectively.

The decommissioning of the risers for PL166 (NGLP gas pipeline) and PLU6221 (NLGP umbilical) will be addressed in the Thistle pipelines and SALM base Decommissioning Programmes that are available separately. The Thistle subsea infrastructure and the Don pipelines inside the Thistle 500m safety zone are subject to separate Decommissioning Programmes that are available separately.

A Cessation of Production application for Thistle was accepted by the Oil and Gas Authority¹ on 14th September 2020. Production from the Thistle field is no longer economically viable. The installation is to be decommissioned in accordance with mandatory requirements.

The Thistle substructure is a steel jacket that weighs more than 10,000 tonnes in air, being a weight of 33,734 tonnes including the footings and piles to a depth of 3m below mudline.

1.4 Thistle overview

1.4.1 Installation

Table 1.4.1: Installation being decommissioned			
Field(s):	Thistle	Production Type	Oil
Water Depth (m)	~162m	UKCS Block	211/18a
Distance to median (km)	~11km (Norway)	Distance from nearest UK coastline	~201 km NE of Shetland
Surface Installations			
Number	Type	Weight (Note 1)	
1	Upper jacket	Upper jacket 11,320 Te	
NOTE			
1. Estimated weight of upper jacket includes a nominal 566 Te of marine growth. Total weight of the jacket including the full depth of the piles is 44,104 Te.			

1.4.2 Drill Cuttings

Table 1.4.2 Drill Cuttings pile ²			
Number of Piles	1	Total Estimated Volume (m ³)	31,651
NOTE			
1. For information and context, Thistle has a drill cuttings pile with an estimated volume 31,651 m ³ . The decommissioning proposals for the drill cuttings will be addressed in the Decommissioning Programme for the jacket footings.			

¹ Rebranded North Sea Transition Authority in early 2022.

² Volume of drill cuttings pile based upon Thistle pre-decommissioning survey conducted in 2020.

During the early years of Thistle platform operations, drill cuttings were discharged to the seabed via the two drill cuttings caissons. Accumulation of the drill cuttings has resulted in the burial of some of the jacket bottom plan members, most notably the conductor guide frame.

Drill cuttings comprise a mixture of lubricating fluids ('mud') and rock chippings that are brought to surface during the process of drilling an oil and gas well. Various types of drilling mud are used depending on the type of well drilled. Diesel was often added to the fluid offshore because it was believed to improve performance.

There is a drill cuttings mound underneath the jacket structure. During a survey in 2020 multibeam echosounder ('MBES') mapping recorded the cuttings mound geometry [2]. The natural seabed profile was extrapolated from the surrounding background and two overlapping drill cuttings mounds could be identified. As they merge in the middle they are treated as a single drill cutting mound with an estimated maximum drilling discharge deposition depth of 8.3 m for the South Western peak and 5.8 m for the south eastern peak. The volume and area of the mound has been calculated to be 31,651 m³ and 26,422 m², respectively.

The surface of Thistle cuttings mound is generally covered in a veneer of mussel of varying densities. Mussel shell cover was prevalent throughout, with the thickness of the layer reducing with distance away from the jacket [3].

In addition to the mussel cover, stony coral (*Desmophyllum pertusum*) rubble is also present throughout the cuttings mound. Inside the jacket structure satellite colonies are found on the cuttings mound itself along with occasional clumps of live mussels, likely to have originated from the jacket and with seabed survival dependant on the hard substrate and modified currents from the presence of the jacket footings.




Figure 1.4.1: Drill cuttings mound – plan view of MBES data (2021)

Multiple items of seabed debris have been observed on ROV survey footage, including scaffold poles, fenders, caissons, etc. Removal of such items will be addressed in a separate DP for the jacket footings.

1.4.3 Installation section 29 holders

Table 1.4.3: Section 29 notice holder details - installation		
Section 29 Notice Holder	Registration Number	Equity interest (%) ³
EnQuest Heather Limited	02748866	-
Britoil Limited	SC077750	81.71875%
Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited	00524868	18.28125%
EnQuest Thistle Limited	04487223	-
NOTE: Installation includes ownership of anode sleds and anode pods that will be removed along with the upper jacket.		

1.4.4 Riser and umbilical section 29 holders

Table 1.4.4: Section 29 notice holder details - Thistle risers (PL13, PL74, PL75)		
Section 29 Notice Holder	Registration Number	Equity interest (%) ³
EnQuest Heather Limited	02748866	-
Britoil Limited	SC077750	81.71875%
Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited	00524868	18.28125%
EnQuest Thistle Limited	04487223	-
NOTE These risers will be removed from approximately 23m above LAT to between 65m and 75m below LAT.		

Table 1.4.5: Section 29 notice holder details - Thistle riser PL4555		
Section 29 Notice Holder	Registration Number	Equity interest (%) ³
EnQuest Heather Limited	02748866	-
Britoil Limited	SC077750	81.71875%
Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited	00524868	18.28125%
NOTE PL4555 is a catenary riser that will be entirely removed.		

Table 1.4.6: Section 29 notice holder details - Don risers (PL598, PL599, PL600, PLU6267)		
Section 29 Notice Holder	Registration Number	Equity interest (%)
EnQuest Heather Limited	02748866	-
Britoil Limited	SC077750	81.71875%
Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited	00524868	18.28125%

³ The Thistle Field is beneficially owned 1% Britoil and 99% by EnQuest. However, the decommissioning liability is shared with the previous Thistle Field owners, Britoil (81.71875%) and Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited (18.28125%).

Table 1.4.6: Section 29 notice holder details – Don risers (PL598, PL599, PL600, PLU6267)		
Section 29 Notice Holder	Registration Number	Equity interest (%)
EnQuest Thistle Limited	04487223	-
NOTE The Don field risers comprise PL598, PL599, PL600 (PL600, PL600.1 through PL600.6), and PLU6267) all housed inside a grout filled caisson (riser caisson R930) connected to the Thistle jacket. These risers will be removed from approximately 23m above LAT to between 65m and 75m below LAT.		

1.5 Summary of proposed decommissioning programme

Table 1.5.1: Summary of decommissioning programme	
Proposed Decommissioning Solution	Reason for Selection
1. Upper Jacket	
Removal of the upper jacket along with riser caissons R919, R929 and R930 for recycling. Subject to detailed engineering and design, and confirmation by the removal contractor, the upper jacket will be removed down to between 65 m and 75 m below LAT with the jacket footings remaining in place pending a later decision on their fate. This means that the remaining footings would extend to a height of between 83.5 m and 93.5 m above seabed. The upper jacket will be taken to shore for recycling with small quantities of material (<1%) potentially destined to landfill. The exact height of severance is subject to commercial agreements that will be influenced by technical constraints, issues of cross bracing design, cutting technology, structural integrity concerns and lift vessel capacity. Permit applications required for work associated with removal of the jacket will be submitted to the regulator as required. OPRED will be notified once the severance height is confirmed.	Meets regulatory requirements and maximises opportunity for re-use or recycling of materials. Complies with the requirements of OSPAR Decision 98/3.
2. Risers	
Removal of the fixed risers PL13, PL74, and PL75 from approx. 23m above LAT down to the top of the footings and recycling. The PL4555 catenary riser will be completely removed. Removal of fixed risers PL598, PL599, PL600 and PLU6267 (contained in riser caisson 930) from approx.. 23m above LAT down to the top of the jacket footings. The fixed risers on the Thistle jacket will be removed to a height that is at or below the maximum height of upper jacket severance (see above) with the lower part of the risers remaining in place on the jacket until the fate of the jacket footing is known. The upper part of the risers will be taken to shore for recycling with small quantities of material (<1%) potentially destined to landfill. The exact height of severance is subject to commercial agreements. Proposals for the sections of the risers connected to the jacket footings will be addressed in the Decommissioning Programme for the jacket footings.	Meets regulatory requirements.
3. Anode sleds and anode pods	
Completely remove 22x anode sleds and 16x anode pods along with 38x cables and transport to shore for recycling.	Meets mandatory requirements.

Table 1.5.1: Summary of decommissioning programme
4. Wells
n/a - covered by the Thistle topsides Decommissioning Programme.
5. Interdependencies
An assessment of alternative use has been made for the Thistle platform and there were no options that were considered economically viable (refer section 3.2.1). Due to timescales of decommissioning, separate Decommissioning Programmes will be submitted for the jacket footings and the Thistle pipelines and SALM base. The topsides Decommissioning Programme was approved 23 December 2021. Decommissioning of PL166 (inside riser caisson R919) and PLU6221 (inside riser caisson R929) is dealt with in the "Thistle pipelines and SALM Decommissioning Programmes" that will be submitted separately. Infrastructure associated with the Don South-West and West Dons fields is connected to the Thistle platform. The Decommissioning Programmes for the Dons and West Dons infrastructure was approved 02 August 2021. The removal of the topsides, upper jacket and risers, anode sleds and anode pods and decommissioning of the subsea infrastructure will not preclude decommissioning options for the Thistle jacket footings. The drill cuttings will not be affected by the proposals for decommissioning the upper jacket.

1.6 Field locations including field layout and adjacent facilities




Figure 1.6.1: Thistle Field location in UKCS




Figure 1.6.2: Thistle adjacent facilities^{4,5}

⁴ The Northern Producer FPSO and infrastructure within its 500m zone have been decommissioned. The Murchison installation and pipelines have been decommissioned.

⁵ For description of telecommunication cables 1568 and 1737 please refer Table of Terms and Abbreviations.




Figure 1.6.3: Thistle 500m zone




Figure 1.6.4: Thistle jacket - location of anode sleds and anode pods

Table 1.6.1: Adjacent facilities					
Owner	Name	Type	Distance/ Direction	Information	Status
CNRI & Wintershall Norsk AS	Murchison	Jacket footings	~9.5km NE of Thistle A	DP approved Aug 2014.	Decommissioned
MCX Dunlin (UK) Limited	Dunlin A	Fixed GBS	~9.7km S of Thistle A	Originally connected to Thistle via PL13, now bypassed.	Out of use
TAQA	Eider A	Steel jacket	~22.5km W of Thistle A		Out of use
EnQuest	Thistle	SALM Base	~2.4km NE of Thistle A	Gravity base foundation	Non-operational
Britoil	PL598	Pipeline	8in production pipeline	Pipeline within Thistle 500m zone	Out of use
Britoil	PL599	Pipeline	8in water injection pipeline	Pipeline within Thistle 500m zone	Out of use
Britoil	PL600	Pipeline	3in chemical injection umbilical	Pipeline within Thistle 500m zone	Out of use
Britoil	PLU6267	Pipeline	4in control umbilical	Pipeline within Thistle 500m zone	Out of use
EnQuest	Magnus	Fixed steel platform	~32.2km NNW of Thistle A	Connected to Commingling Wye via PL4556	Operational
EnQuest, Britoil, Chrysaor	PL13	Pipeline	16in Oil Pipeline ~12.7km long	Thistle A Platform to Dunlin A Platform. Pipe spool removed at Dunlin A. Pipeline in IPR.	Out of use
EnQuest, Britoil, Chrysaor	PL74	Pipeline	16in Oil Pipeline ~2.4km long	Thistle A Platform to SALM Base	Pipeline currently in IPR. Disused since 1983
EnQuest, Britoil, Chrysaor	PL75	Pipeline	16in Water Ballast Pipeline ~2.4km long	Thistle A Platform to SALM Base	Pipeline currently in IPR. Disused since 1983
EnQuest	PL2579	Pipeline	3in Gas Import (Fuel Gas) Pipeline ~15.7km long	Thistle A Platform to Northern Producer	Out of use
Fairfield Betula Limited, MCX Dunlin (UK) Limited	PL2852	Pipeline	4in Gas Import Pipeline ~10.3km long	Thistle A Platform to Dunlin A Platform	Decommissioned
EnQuest, Britoil	PL4555 (Includes	Pipeline	8in Oil Pipeline	Thistle 'A' Platform to Commingling	Out of use

Table 1.6.1: Adjacent facilities					
Owner	Name	Type	Distance/ Direction	Information	Status
	section of pipeline previously numbered PL2578)		~10.6km long	Wye Structure	
Impacts of decommissioning proposals					
There are no direct impacts on adjacent facilities from the decommissioning works associated with removal of the Thistle upper jacket.					

1.7 Industrial implications

It is EnQuest's intention to develop a contract strategy and Supply Chain Action Plan that will result in an efficient and cost-effective execution of the decommissioning works. The Thistle upper jacket and associated riser section Decommissioning Programmes will be managed by EnQuest to ensure safe, efficient, and legally compliant delivery of the various elements of the decommissioning scope. The intention is to make efficient use of the supply chain to generate value through the application of knowledge, innovation, and technology, explore collaboration opportunities and to employ best practice in the management of the supply chain to deliver a cost effective and reliable service. Where appropriate existing framework agreements may be used for decommissioning activities.

2. DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED

2.1 Installation: upper jacket and anode sleds and pods

Table 2.1.1: Surface facilities information					
Name	Facility Type	Location	Upper jacket		
		WGS84 Decimal	Weight (Te)	No of Legs	Number of Piles
		WGS84 Decimal Minute			
Thistle Alpha	Fixed Upper Steel Jacket	61.363036°N	11,320	4	n/a
		1.579761°E			
		61°21.7821"N 1°34.78567"E			
NOTE 1. Weight of upper jacket includes a nominal 566 Te of marine growth = 10,754 + 566 = 11,320 Te.					

2.1.1 Jacket description

The Thistle jacket was installed in 1976 with an original design life of 25 years and has now been in place for ~45 years. It is a northern North Sea steel piled jacket, a spaceframe structure with four legs and 'K-bracing' on the four primary frames. Three faces are vertical while the fourth has a slope at 1/7.5875. There are eight plan frames in the jacket with the bottom plan nominally 3.1 m above the seabed, but in practice partially buried under drill cuttings.

The jacket has two 9.15 m diameter pontoon legs plus two tapered legs with diameter reducing from 6.1 m at the mudline to 1.83 m at skid deck level. The conductors are at the north-west end of the jacket with guides at all plan levels. The 60 conductor slots are distributed between 40 outboard slots and 10 slots in each of the two large diameter pontoon legs.

The top dimensions of the jacket, measured between leg-centres are 73.15 m x 51.82 m, and the corresponding base dimensions of the jacket are 73.15 m x 76.20 m. The overall height of the jacket is 185 m, and its weight is ~32,320 Te, excluding the weight of marine growth and excluding the weight of foundations more than 3 m below bottom of jacket.




Figure 2.1.1: Schematic of Thistle jacket

2.1.2 Pontoon legs

Thistle jacket was towed to field supported primarily on the two 9 m (30-ft) diameter frame 9 pontoon legs (Figure 2.1.2). In addition to resisting hydrostatic loads during jacket tow and upend, the pontoon legs were also designed to perform a range of functions including:

- primary load path under jacket operating conditions.
- primary interface with jacket Frame 9 piled foundations comprising internal and external piles.
- support of COS tanks which provided additional buoyancy during jacket installation.

- conduit for in-leg conductors.
- conduit for a variety of flood, vent, oil, water and other pipework.
- and integral diesel and water tanks between -12.2 m (-40-ft) and +14.0 m (+46-ft) bulkheads in legs G9 and B9 respectively.

The structural configuration of the legs varies substantially along their length. Shell plate thickness ranges from 25 mm (1-inch) to 38.1 mm (1.5-inch) in the bays and up to 44.5 mm (1.75-inch) at jacket plan levels. The shell plate is reinforced with circumferential ring stiffeners throughout, with supplemental longitudinal stiffening between -12.2 m (-40-ft) and -41.5 m (-136-ft). At each plan level the leg nodes are heavily reinforced with horizontal bulkheads, diaphragms, ring stiffening and insert steelwork fully backing up incident braces.

Pile guides and conductor conduits penetrate the horizontal diaphragms and are furnished with conical stabbing guides and associated stiffening. Conductor conduit tubes span between the +23.2 m (+76-ft) and -12.2 m (-40-ft) diaphragms to permit diesel storage and personnel access. Internal pile sleeves run from the bottoms of the legs up to -70.1 m (-230-ft) which defines the top of footings.

Service pipework down the pontoon legs is generally mounted externally, only penetrating the shell plate local to the target delivery point. Much of this was removed after installation of the jacket and the penetrations sealed off with blinding plates.




Figure 2.1.2: Thistle 'pontoon' leg sections (Frame 9)

2.1.3 Pile clusters and the small diameter legs

The smaller diameter legs on Frame 2 increase from 1.8 m (72-inch) diameter at the top of jacket to 6.1 m (240-inch) at the level of the pile clusters. The 2.4 m (96-inch), 4.6 m (180-inch) and 6.1 m (240-inch) diameter segments are reinforced with internal ring stiffeners and have extensive internal stiffening at plan nodes.

For design efficiency and to reduce the wall thickness, as is shown in section 4.7 the bottle legs are heavily stiffened on the inside with ring stiffeners and nodal steelwork. These would have been designed to resist both installation and in-place operating loads, including the hydrostatic pressures experienced as the jacket was lowered to the seabed.

Pile sleeves are connected to pontoon and bottle legs using a variety of ring and linear plated stiffeners to transfer the shear forces and resultant bending moments carried by the piles into the jacket structure.




Figure 2.1.3: Thistle leg sections (Frame 2)

Within each bottle leg there are 1x 8-inch pipe, 1x 6-inch pipe, 18x 2-inch pipes, 6x 3-inch grout pipes and 7x 1-inch air lines. There are also a number of external lines and conduits with numerous pipe supports. All these lines are obsolete, and it is anticipated that they will now be in a poor condition.

2.1.4 Foundation piles

The jacket is piled at the four corners with four different pile configurations, as illustrated in Figure 2.1.5 below.

The forty-two 54-inch primary piles were driven through the pile guides and sleeves 30 m into the seabed. Eight of these piles were fitted with pile grippers which secured the jacket until all

the primary piles had been grouted into the sleeves.

Pile types A, B and E were then drilled out to a 48-inch socket to target depths of 95 m and 143 m below seabed, depending upon the specific type. The drilled sockets were filled with weighted mud to stabilise the hole and enabled installation of the 42-inch diameter secondary piles. The thirty-four secondary piles and followers were filled with mud to prevent hydrostatic uplift as they were lowered into the corresponding primary piles.

To achieve the necessary density, prevent losses into the formation and seal the follower connections, the Piling Installation Critique notes that this ‘mud’ contained unrecorded quantities of cellophane, wood fibre, mica, walnut fines, mud fibre, polyseal, idflo, UK bentonite, Wyoming bentonite and barite.

The secondary piles were then grouted into the seabed formation and primary piles by injecting grout through a grout line stabbed into a “float shoe” at the bottom of the pile. The pile type and configurations are shown in Figure 2.1.4 and Figure 2.1.5. A summary of the key elevations is presented in Table 2.1.2.




Figure 2.1.4: Thistle pile type and number of each type




Figure 2.1.5: Thistle pile schematic using four different types of pile configurations

Table 2.1.2: Key elevations shown in pile configuration diagram						
Type	Top of Pile (1st)		Top of Pile (2nd)		Top of sleeve	
A	-392.0ft	-119.5m	-387.0ft	-118.0m	-400.0ft	-121.9m
B	-363.0ft	-110.6m	-349.0ft	-106.4m	-230.0ft	-70.1m
C	-413.0ft	-125.9m	-535.0ft	-163.1m	-420.0ft	-128.0m
D	-329.0ft	-100.3m	-323.0ft	-98.5m	-370.0ft	-112.8m

2.1.5 Definition of upper jacket extent

The definition of Thistle jacket footings has been developed from that provided in OSPAR Decision 98/3^[6] for footings of a steel piled jacket as follows.

“footings” means those parts of a steel installation which:

- (i) are below the highest point of the piles which connect the installation to the sea bed;*
- (ii) in the case of an installation built without piling, form the foundation of the installation and contain amounts of cement grouting similar to those found in footings as defined in subparagraph 3(a); or*
- (iii) are so closely connected to the parts mentioned in subparagraphs (i) and (ii) of this definition as to present major engineering problems in severing them from those parts.*

Thistle jacket has an extensive system of pile foundations including forty-two primary, thirty-four secondary piles and many thousand tonnes of cement. These foundation piles were installed into steel sleeves both external to and within the jacket legs, which form the main load path for self-weight and environmental load transfer between Thistle jacket and the foundation piles.

During installation, those primary and secondary piles that were within the pontoon legs (type B in Figure 2.1.5) were deployed to a depth below the top of pile sleeves. As the piles are fully cemented into the pile sleeves and the pile sleeves themselves form substantial, complex structural elements, the pile sleeves are considered to present “major engineering problems in severing them” from the jacket structure.

Based on subparagraph (iii) within OSPAR definition of jacket footings, the top of Thistle jacket footings is therefore represented by the top of the pontoon leg pile sleeves. Thistle upper jacket represents the jacket structure from top of footings (-70.1 m LAT) to the top of the jacket, which is represented by the skid beams at +23.2 m above LAT.

This position has been developed during engagement with potential cutting and heavy lift removal contractors. Removal of the upper jacket will require severance of the pontoon legs at the top of footings. At 9.2 m diameter these are larger than any equivalent cuts performed to date, so removal of the upper jacket will require the development of new equipment. Performing this cut below the top of pile sleeves would require cutting through the shell plate, internal pile sleeves and possibly cemented conductor/casing strings. This lower cut would involve a steel cross section up to 83% greater than that at the proposed elevation. Failure of a cut would require a fresh start at a new elevation, and repeated failures due to excessive material or problematic internals would eventually result in return to shore and replanning of the cutting operation. Stability of the upper jacket when left in a partially cut condition would require careful consideration. Experience in cutting through the closest equivalent platform legs - smaller with fewer internal foundation elements, for another operator was found to be problematic, with multiple broken wires and failed attempts.

In addition to the cutting challenges, the weight and geometry of Thistle upper jacket section makes recovery to the top of pile sleeves possible in a single operation. Removal of the structure to a deeper elevation would commence with removal of this upper jacket as one lift, followed by subsequent splitting of the footings into sections for multiple lifts and removal to shore. The removal contractors engaged⁶ with agree with the challenges presented by separating pile sleeves from the jacket, aligning with the definition for the top of the footings as the top of the pontoon leg pile sleeves.

⁶ Saipem, Heerema Marine Contractors and Allseas.

2.1.6 Current condition

The Thistle jacket was installed in 1976 with an original design life of 25 years and has now been in place for ~45 years.

As required under Health and Safety Executive ('HSE') legislation, the jacket has been subjected to a rolling programme of underwater inspection including visual survey, flooded member detection, Non-Destructive Testing ('NDT') and cathodic protection polarity checks. The purpose of this programme has been to ensure that the jacket remains structurally sound and able to withstand the natural environmental forces to which it is exposed offshore. All the raw data and results of these surveys are held on a COABIS database.

To enable upending and on-bottom stability of the jacket, many jacket members were designed to be flooded during installation. The four legs (B2, G2, B9 and G9) are flooded to bulkheads at - 12 m, and much of the frame bracing in the lower two bays (below El.-100 m) was designed to be flooded as part of the installation procedure. Several jacket members have subsequently become flooded, many because of accumulated damage around the El.-12 m conductor guide framing. Several members are known to be flooded due the occurrence of structural defects.

Structural modifications undertaken on the jacket following installation comprised piercing the pontoon leg bulkheads to allow pile driving and the removal of some installation aids. Some caissons and risers have been installed, removed, or replaced. Several repair clamps have also been installed on the jacket itself, on conductors and on the conductor guide frames.



Figure 2.1.6: Thistle jacket fabricated at Greythorpe Dry Dock, Middlesbrough



Figure 2.1.7: Thistle jacket immediately prior to upending

2.1.7 Anode sleds and anode pods

The Thistle jacket was originally protected from corrosion using an impressed current system. The impressed current system was replaced by bracelet anodes retrofitted to the jacket from as early as 1984 due to operational issues with the impressed current system. Once the bracelet anodes had been spent, in 2016 / 2017 they were replaced by a first set of anodes installed on the seabed (anode sleds Figure 2.1.8) and connected to the jacket with cables. Due to functional issues with the first set of anodes, a second set of anodes (anode pods, Figure 2.1.9) was installed on the seabed to supplement the first set of anodes, and these were connected to the jacket in 2021. Bracelet anodes continue to be installed on the jacket in areas most susceptible to corrosion.

Table 2.1.3: Subsea facilities information					
Subsea installation	No.	Size (m)	Location		Comments/ Status/Piled
		Weight (Te)	WGS84 Decimal	WGS84 Decimal Minute	
Anode sled 1	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364517° N	61°21.8710' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.581268° E	1°34.8761' E	
Anode sled 2	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364628° N	61°21.8777' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.581183° E	1°34.8710' E	
Anode sled 3	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364820° N	61°21.8892' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580522° E	1°34.8313' E	
Anode sled 4	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364931° N	61°21.8958' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580269° E	1°34.8162' E	
Anode sled 5	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.365095° N	61°21.9057' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580046° E	1°34.8028' E	
Anode sled 6	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.365154° N	61°21.9093' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.579581° E	1°34.7749' E	

Table 2.1.3: Subsea facilities information					
Subsea installation	No.	Size (m)	Location		Comments/ Status/Piled
		Weight (Te)	WGS84 Decimal	WGS84 Decimal Minute	
Anode sled 7	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.365342° N	61°21.9205' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.579544° E	1°34.7727' E	
Anode sled 8	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.365083° N	61°21.9050' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.579333° E	1°34.7600' E	
Anode sled 9	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364919° N	61°21.8952' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.579158° E	1°34.7495' E	
Anode sled 10	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364575° N	61°21.8745' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.578620° E	1°34.7172' E	
Anode sled 11	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364524° N	61°21.8715' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.578366° E	1°34.7020' E	
Anode sled 12	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364371° N	61°21.8622' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.578300° E	1°34.6980' E	
Anode sled 13	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364316° N	61°21.8590' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.577912° E	1°34.6747' E	
Anode sled 14	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364153° N	61°21.8492' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.578425° E	1°34.7055' E	
Anode sled 15	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364069° N	61°21.8442' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.578844° E	1°34.7306' E	
Anode sled 16	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.363938° N	61°21.8363' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.579006° E	1°34.7404' E	
Anode sled 17	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.363777° N	61°21.8266' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.579504° E	1°34.7703' E	
Anode sled 18	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.363708° N	61°21.8225' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580002° E	1°34.8001' E	
Anode sled 19	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.363894° N	61°21.8336' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580417° E	1°34.8250' E	
Anode sled 20	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.363982° N	61°21.8389' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580476° E	1°34.8286' E	
Anode sled 21	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364115° N	61°21.8469' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580699° E	1°34.8420' E	
Anode sled 22	1	2.4m x 12.2m x 3.1m	61.364168° N	61°21.8501' N	Weight includes 1x 80 m long cable (est.)
		18.3	1.580777° E	1°34.8466' E	
Anode Pod Number P1	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.363591° N	61°21.8155' N	Weight includes 1x 70 m long cable
		4.2	1.579909° E	1°34.7946' E	
Anode Pod	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.363765° N	61°21.8259' N	Weight includes 1x

Table 2.1.3: Subsea facilities information					
Subsea installation	No.	Size (m)	Location		Comments/ Status/Piled
		Weight (Te)	WGS84 Decimal	WGS84 Decimal Minute	
Number P2		4.2	1.579759° E	1°34.7855' E	30 m long cable
Anode Pod Number P3	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.363976° N	61°21.8386' N	Weight includes 1x 30 m long cable
		4.2	1.578827° E	1°34.7296' E	
Anode Pod Number P4	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364044° N	61°21.8427' N	Weight includes 1x 60 m long cable
		4.2	1.578525° E	1°34.7115' E	
Anode Pod Number P5	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364226° N	61°21.8536' N	Weight includes 1x 70 m long cable
		4.2	1.577917° E	1°34.6750' E	
Anode Pod Number P6	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364681° N	61°21.8808' N	Weight includes 1x 75 m long cable
		4.3	1.578226° E	1°34.6936' E	
Anode Pod Number P7	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364859° N	61°21.8916' N	Weight includes 1x 70 m long cable
		4.2	1.560307° E	1°33.6184' E	
Anode Pod Number P8	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364989° N	61°21.8993' N	Weight includes 1x 70 m long cable
		4.2	1.579347° E	1°34.7608' E	
Anode Pod Number P9	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.365019° N	61°21.9011' N	Weight includes 1x 70 m long cable
		4.2	1.579518° E	1°34.7711' E	
Anode Pod Number P10	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.365045° N	61°21.9027' N	Weight includes 1x 70 m long cable
		4.2	1.580102° E	1°34.8061' E	
Anode Pod Number P11	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364879° N	61°21.8928' N	Weight includes 1x 30 m long cable
		4.2	1.580383° E	1°34.8230' E	
Anode Pod Number P12	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364757° N	61°21.8854' N	Weight includes 1x 30 m long cable
		4.2	1.580648° E	1°34.8389' E	
Anode Pod Number P13	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364476° N	61°21.8686' N	Weight includes 1x 95 m long cable
		4.3	1.581621° E	1°34.8973' E	
Anode Pod Number P14	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364197° N	61°21.8518' N	Weight includes 1x 30 m long cable
		4.1	1.580929° E	1°34.8557' E	
Anode Pod Number P15	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.364021° N	61°21.8412' N	Weight includes 1x 30 m long cable
		4.1	1.580627° E	1°34.8376' E	
Anode Pod Number P16	1	2.7m x 2.7m x 3.6m	61.363717° N	61°21.8230' N	Weight includes 1x 60 m long cable
		4.2	1.580349° E	1°34.8210' E	
NOTE For anode sled refer Figure 2.1.8, for anode pod refer Figure 2.1.9.					





Figure 2.1.8: Anode sleds



ISOMETRIC VIEW
SCALE 1:20

Figure 2.1.9: Anode pods

2.2 Thistle & Don risers

Table 2.2.1: Riser information									
Description	Pipeline No (as per PWA)	Diameter (NB) (inches)	Length (km)	Description of Component Parts	Product Conveyed	From - To End Point	Burial Status	Pipeline Status	Current Content
16in oil pipeline	PL13	16	0.1 (Est)	Carbon steel pipeline, coated with Fibre Bonded Epoxy (FBE)	Oil, condensate	Riser section of Thistle A to Dunlin A oil pipeline, removed from cut point ~23m above LAT) to top of jacket footings, 65-75m below LAT	Fixed to Thistle jacket	Out of use	Inhibited seawater
16in pipeline	PL74	16	0.1 (Est)		Seawater	Riser section of Thistle A to SALM base pipeline, removed from Cut Point C (~23m above LAT) to top of jacket footings, 65-75m	Fixed to Thistle jacket	Out of use	Seawater

Table 2.2.1: Riser information									
Description	Pipeline No (as per PWA)	Diameter (NB) (inches)	Length (km)	Description of Component Parts	Product Conveyed	From - To End Point	Burial Status	Pipeline Status	Current Content
						below LAT.			
16in pipeline	PL75	16	0.1 (Est)		Oil, condensate	Same as PL74.	Fixed to Thistle jacket	Out of use	Seawater
Riser caisson 930 containing, Don risers	PL598	8	0.1 (Est)	Carbon steel pipeline encased in grout inside a clamped 30in neoprene coated caisson.	Oil, condensate	Riser section of PL598 from top of footings 65-75m below LAT to and including a section ~23m above LAT.	n/a	Out of use	Inhibited seawater
	PL599	8	0.20		Seawater	Riser section of PL599, removed from cut point ~23m above LAT to and including cut point at top of jacket footings 65-75m	n/a	Out of use	Inhibited seawater

Table 2.2.1: Riser information									
Description	Pipeline No (as per PWA)	Diameter (NB) (inches)	Length (km)	Description of Component Parts	Product Conveyed	From - To End Point	Burial Status	Pipeline Status	Current Content
						below LAT.			
	PL600	70mm	0.20	Steel armoured CI umbilical encased in grout inside a clamped 30in neoprene coated caisson.	Scale and corrosion inhibitor chemicals	Riser section of PL600, removed from cut point ~23m above LAT to and including cut point at top of jacket footings 65-75m below LAT.	n/a	Out of use	CI chemicals
	PLU6267	88mm	0.20	Steel armoured electrohydraulic and hydraulic fluid umbilical encased in grout inside a clamped 30in neoprene coated caisson.	Electrical power, signals, and hydraulic fluid	Riser section of PLU6267, removed from cut point ~23m above LAT to and including cut point at top of jacket footings 65-75m	n/a	Out of use	Hydraulic fluids

Table 2.2.1: Riser information									
Description	Pipeline No (as per PWA)	Diameter (NB) (inches)	Length (km)	Description of Component Parts	Product Conveyed	From - To End Point	Burial Status	Pipeline Status	Current Content
						below LAT.			
8in flowline	PL4555	285.4mm	0.3	Composite flexible catenary riser	Exported oil	ESDV on Thistle to SSIV riser base.	Part suspended in water column; part laid on seabed	Operational	Oil
NOTES If dimensions are expressed in mm this refers to outside diameter of the product.									

2.3 Inventory estimates




Figure 2.3.1: Pie-chart of estimated inventories for Thistle upper jacket⁷




Figure 2.3.2: Pie-chart of estimated inventories for anode sleds and pods

⁷ Includes weight of all the risers identified in this DP, except for PL4555.




Figure 2.3.3: Pie-chart of estimated inventories for PL4555 catenary riser

3. REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL METHODS

3.1 Use of waste framework directive

Waste will be dealt with in accordance with the Waste Framework Directive. The reuse of an installation or pipelines (or parts thereof) is first in the order of preferred decommissioning options. However, given the age of the installations and infrastructure it is unlikely that reuse opportunities will be realised. Waste generated during decommissioning will be segregated by type and periodically transported to shore in an auditable manner through licensed waste contractors. Steel and other recyclable metal are estimated to account for the greatest proportion of the materials inventory.

3.2 Upper jacket

3.2.1 Opportunities for reuse

The re-use of an installation, pipelines or parts thereof is first in the order of preferred decommissioning options but given the age of the Thistle installation and infrastructure it is unlikely that re-use opportunities would be realised.

Options for re-use and alternative use were considered at the option screening stage in the decommissioning planning for both the installation and pipelines. The Thistle jacket was installed in 1976 with the topsides' modules being installed in the following year and by the time of removal they will be approaching 50 years of service. It was concluded that re-use of the Thistle installation would not be a realistic option for several reasons:

- Significantly past original design life.
- Structural integrity concerns, particularly with jacket.
- High operating and maintenance costs, future reliability and likely obsolescence of equipment and uncertainty around future levels of support from original equipment manufacturers.
- Unlikely to meet current design and certification standards.
- Process compatibility at new location would need to be ensured.
- Extensive destruct required to remove the facilities as a single lift removal is not feasible.
- Suitability of jacket for new location, for example, water depth, environmental conditions, and fatigue life.
- Scope, schedule, and cost of refurbishment.
- Relative economics of re-use against new build.

No opportunities have been identified for the continued use of the Thistle platform for the production or export of oil or gas. The foregoing reasons are such that the platform would not be suitable for use in carbon capture, use and storage ('CCUS') programmes. All other possible non-oil and gas uses for the platform⁸, at its present location or at another site, would be technically infeasible or economically unviable. It is therefore concluded that the Thistle platform must be decommissioned.

3.2.2 Whole jacket removal

The Thistle jacket was originally installed by being towed to the installation site and then emplaced on the seabed through controlled ballasting using a temporary ballast control centre mounted on top of the jacket. The jacket was then secured in its final location using a total of 42

⁸ Examples might include scientific research station, weather station, or wind turbine.

primary piles and 34 secondary piles. The ballast control centre and equipment used for installation of the piled foundations were removed prior to the installation of topsides modules.

Although Thistle jacket was towed to field using integral buoyancy, upend and set down of the jacket is not a reversible operation. The pontoon leg base plates and intermediate diaphragms on Thistle were pierced during installation of foundation piles and in preparation for installation of the in-leg conductors. Thistle ballast and vent systems were removed and/or isolated on set down and those elements of these system remaining on the jacket will have degraded since 1976. In addition to flooding, venting and grout line leg penetrations, the Thistle jacket leg shells have suffered various damage incidents (such as the cracking associated with COS tank movement). The COS tanks provided necessary buoyancy during jacket installation, however due to the integrity of the tank connections, both tanks were removed in 2020 and wet stored within the Thistle 500 m zone Figure 3.2.1. The COS tanks are subject to a separate DP that was approved in 2020.




Figure 3.2.1: Thistle COS tanks inside 500m zone

It is noted that in 2009 the 9,300 Te Frigg DP2 jacket was recovered along with 2,500 Te MSF using four bespoke buoyancy tanks each generating approximately 3,000 Te uplift. Each tank weighed 1,025 Te and interfaced with a corner leg of the jacket through pull-in wires, lower guides, upper guides, mating clamps, tank mating units, pull-in jacks, upper main clamps, and

lower main clamps. Buoyancy loads were transmitted into the jacket through welded brackets at the top of the jacket legs. The jacket was then towed in a deep draft vertical orientation to an inshore set down location for subsequent dismantling by an HLV. The method has been studied extensively since DP2 on a range of different jackets but has never been repeated.

Refloat concepts have also been considered for Thistle and rejected. Comparison with the studies performed for the Brent Alpha jacket indicates very similar overall conclusions. The issues considered prohibitive to the concept of removing the whole jacket using the refloat concept include:

- Increased weight of jacket and change in centre of gravity due to piles and grout in the pile sleeves.
- Loss of intact buoyancy due to piercing of bottom of pontoon legs, degradation at installation pipework penetrations, field life mechanical damage, fatigue crack flooding, and removal of COS tanks.
- Lack of effective connection points on the thin-walled pontoon legs for load transfer from any added buoyancy tanks
- Requirements to excavate drill cuttings, sever piles, etc.

Due to its size and configuration the Thistle jacket presents several additional problems to those identified for the Brent Alpha jacket. Thistle jacket also has known fatigue cracks through jacket braces and in service leakage of the pontoon legs. On this basis reverse installation by refloat (including with the use of external buoyancy tanks) is not considered viable for Thistle and has been discounted.

The total weight of the Thistle jacket, including grout and piles to -3m, is 33,734Te. As the proven lifting capacity of marine vessels increases, use of a single lift vessel ('SLV') or a semi-submersible crane vessel ('SSCV') becomes an increasingly viable option for recovering large offshore structures in a single piece. In the case of Thistle however, none of the existing or near-term marine lifting vessels have sufficient lifting capacity to recover the whole Thistle jacket in a single piece with their position typically being secured using Dynamic Positioning capability. The jacket lift capacity of the Heerema Thialf is quoted as 14,200Te, Sleipnir is quoted as 20,000 Te and the Allseas Pioneering Spirit is quoted as 20,000 Tonnes. However, note that these are the advertised lifting capacities, and as a minimum would be restricted by the dimensions of the jacket being lifted as well as the operating radius of the cranes.

3.2.3 Upper jacket decommissioning overview

Table 3.2.1: Upper jacket			
Name	Weight (Te)	Date Installed	Seeking Derogation from OSPAR Decision 98/3 (Yes/No)
Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket	11,320	1976	No

The removal of the upper jacket will not prejudice full removal of the footings should this be required.

Subject to detailed engineering and design, and confirmation by the removal contractor, the jacket will be severed at an elevation between 65 m and 75 m below LAT. This means that the remaining footings would extend to a height of between 83.5m and 93.5m above seabed. This will allow the removal contractor to optimise the cut, reducing the technical complexity of the operation by eliminating the need to cut through the pontoon legs and internal piles and caissons

at the same time, K-joints, internal stiffeners, etc. The cut line may be staggered to retain part of the -70.7 m plan bracing and corresponding frame diagonal bracing within the lift for load transfer purposes.

The diesel tank in leg G9 and the compartment below this (see Figure 3.2.2) will be cleaned while the topsides is in place [1] to minimise the impact these have on the removal method.

Thistle platform has 60 conductor slots, of which 52 were used during field life. Structural damage to conductors resulted in the installation of more than 75 external repair clamps. As these are too large to pass through the conductor guides, and the conductors are unable to free stand without being restrained by guides, and they cannot be fully removed using the platform drilling rig. Furthermore, 15 of these conductors run through foundation piles and are likely to be fixed into the pile bores by annular cement, thereby further preventing their recovery prior to jacket removal. In response to these constraints, the project has elected to recover those sections of Thistle conductors currently obstructing removal of the upper jacket with a combination of platform drill rig and ship-based subsea cutting and lifting operations. Any remaining conductors will each be cut at a height that will not be higher than the highest part of the jacket footings. If removal of the footings was required, remaining conductor sections would be accessible for individual recovery to below seabed or lifted integral with sections of the footings as appropriate. It is likely that there will be an environmental impact associated with disturbance of the drill cuttings pile whilst pulling the conductors out of the seabed. The environmental impacts associated with the disturbance of the drill cuttings are not addressed as part of the upper jacket Decommissioning Programme.




Figure 3.2.2: Diesel tank in leg G9

3.2.4 Upper jacket removal methods

Best endeavours will be used to ensure that all hydrocarbons and potential pollutants have been

removed from well conductors, risers, umbilicals, caissons, that are within the jacket structure before cutting operations take place. EnQuest will work with OPRED to ensure that acceptable levels of cleanliness will be achieved.

Table 3.2.2: Thistle jacket removal method

1) Single Lift Vessel ☑; 2) Semi-Submersible Crane Vessel ☑; 3) Hybrid ☑; 4) Piece small ☑; 5) Other (e.g., Buoyancy Tanks) ☑;	
Method	Description
Removal of upper jacket as a single unit	Removal of the upper jacket as a single unit down to top of jacket footings (severed between 65 m and 75 m below LAT) and transport to an onshore decommissioning facility for recycling, reuse or disposal.
Removal of upper jacket piece-small	Removal of the upper jacket in smaller sections down to top of jacket footings (severed between 65 m and 75 m below LAT) using a smaller capacity HLV (than capable of removing the upper jacket as a single unit) and transport to an onshore decommissioning facility for recycling, reuse or disposal.
Removal of the upper jacket using buoyancy	Refloat and tow of upper jacket after installation of external temporary buoyancy tanks and severance from the jacket footings. Upper jacket would be towed to an inshore location for further dismantlement using crane vessels followed by transport to an onshore decommissioning facility for recycling, reuse or disposal.
Proposed removal method and disposal route	Removal of upper jacket as a single unit using either an SLV or an SSCV followed by recovery to shore for reuse, recycling, and finally disposal to landfill as appropriate. A final decision on the removal method will be made following a commercial tendering process. Tenderers will be asked to nominate onshore reception facilities, in the United Kingdom, Europe or internationally, that are compatible with the tenderer's proposed removal methods. Discussions with the tenderer will also be undertaken to determine the optimum severance elevation. The exact height of severance is subject to commercial agreements that will be influenced by technical constraints, issues of cross bracing design, cutting technology, structural integrity concerns and lift vessel capacity. OPRED to be informed of removal method and disposal route.

3.3 Thistle & Don risers

Table 3.3.1: Riser decommissioning proposals

Pipeline or group	Recommended option	Justification
Thistle risers		
PL13, PL74, PL75,	Remove the whole of the upper riser, severing it at a height between 65 m and 75 m below LAT with the lower part remaining <i>in situ</i> until the fate of the jacket footings has been determined.	Satisfies mandatory requirements Proposals for the sections of the risers connected to the Thistle jacket footings will be addressed in the Decommissioning Programme for the jacket footings.
PL4555 catenary riser	Complete removal. Completely remove the PL4555 catenary riser.	Satisfies mandatory requirements.
Don risers		
PL598, PL599, PL600 and PLU6267 (all housed in riser caisson 930)	As PL13, PL74 and PL75 above.	Satisfies mandatory requirements

3.4 Anode sleds and anode pods

Table 3.4.1: Subsea installations			
Subsea installations and stabilisation features	No.	Option	Disposal Route (if applicable)
Anode sleds and cables	22	Complete removal.	Return to shore for reuse, recycling, or disposal.
Anode pods and cables	16	Complete removal.	Return to shore for reuse, recycling, or disposal.

3.5 Waste streams

Table 3.5.1: Waste stream management methods	
Waste stream	Removal and disposal method
Diesel	While the Thistle topsides remain in place any diesel in jacket leg G9 (Figure 3.2.2) will be drained and returned to shore for re-use or disposal. The diesel tank and compartment below will also be cleaned to the extent that it is safe to do so. The methods to be used will be agreed beforehand with the EMT Environmental Inspectorate [1].
Marine growth	Where necessary and practicable, to allow access, some marine growth will be removed offshore under a Marine License application. The remainder will be brought to shore and disposed of according to guidelines and company policies.
NORM	Tests for Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material ('NORM') will be undertaken offshore on the recovery vessel by the Radiation Protection Supervisor and recorded. Any NORM encountered onshore will be dealt with and disposed of in accordance with guidelines and company policies and under appropriate permit.
Original paint coating	The presence of lead-based paints will be identified as these may generate toxic fumes or dust if flame-cutting, grinding or blasting is used so appropriate safety measures will be taken. Painted items will be disposed of with consideration given to any toxic components.
Onshore dismantling sites	Appropriate licensed sites will be selected. Dismantling site must demonstrate proven disposal track record and waste stream management throughout the deconstruction process and demonstrate their ability to deliver re-use and recycling options. If a non-UK yard is selected, appropriate Trans-frontier Shipment of Waste licences will be applied for. OPRED to be informed once a disposal yard has been selected.

Table 3.5.2: Inventory disposition			
Inventory	Total inventory (Te)	Planned tonnage to shore (Te)	Planned left <i>in situ</i> (Te)
Thistle upper jacket	11,320 ⁹	11,320 ⁹	0
PL4555 catenary riser	41.4	41.4	0
Anode sleds and anode pods	471.5	471.5	0

⁹ Weight of upper jacket includes a nominal 566 Te of marine growth = 10,754 + 566 = 11,320 Te and includes the weight of fixed risers.

Table 3.5.3: Re-use, recycle & disposal aspirations for recovered material			
Inventory	Re-use	Recycle	Disposal (e.g., Landfill)
Thistle upper jacket	<5%	>90%	<10%
PL4555 catenary riser	<5%	>90%	<10%
Anode sleds and anode pods	<5%	>95%	<5%

All recovered material will be transported onshore for re-use, recycling, or disposal. It is not possible to predict the market for reusable materials with any confidence so the figures in Table 3.5.3 are aspirational.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL APPRAISAL

4.1 Environmental sensitivities

Thistle is in Block 211/18a of the NNS in water depth of approximately 162m. Mean residual currents for the field are 0.12m/s, with direction of residual water movement generally to the south or east. Prevailing winds are from the south-west or north-north-east.

The environmental characteristics and sensitivities are listed in Appendix A.1. Sediments in the NNS are predominantly sand and muddy sand and in the vicinity of Thistle comprise of sand and gravelly sand. They are such that the seabed area is generally stable with relatively homogenous community. Multi-Beam Echo Sounder ('MBES') identifies a drill cuttings pile below the platform, and historical records of some Oil Based Mud ('OBM') discharge will likely result in elevated levels of hydrocarbon contamination above background in the vicinity of platform.

There are no offshore conservation sites within 40 km of the Thistle Field (Figure 4.2.1). The North-East Faroe-Shetland Channel Nature Conservation Marine Protected Area ('MPA (NC)') is located approximately 143 km north-west, the Hermaness Saxa Vord and Valla Field Special Protection Area ('SPA') is located approximately 140 km west and the Pobie Bank Reef Special Areas of Conservation ('SAC') is located approximately 103 km southwest of the Thistle jacket respectively.

This information is supported by a full pre-decommissioning Environmental Baseline Survey conducted in May 2021 by GEOxyz [4].

4.2 Impact assessment

4.2.1 Upper jacket

Where potentially significant impacts have been identified, mitigation measures have been considered; these include both industry standard and project-specific measures. The intention is that such measures should remove, reduce or manage the potential impacts to a point where the impacts are not significant. Aspects that were considered within the Environmental Impact Identification ('ENVID') for the Thistle Upper Jacket were reviewed for their applicability on Thistle. Those taken forward were as follows:

1. Physical presence
2. Energy and emissions
3. Resource use
4. Cutting
5. Dropped objects; and
6. Significant hydrocarbon release.

The decision on which aspects required further study and assessment was based on the specific proposed activities and environmental sensitivities around Thistle, on review of industry experience of decommissioning impact assessments and on assessment of wider stakeholder interest informed in part by stakeholder engagement.

The scope of the upper jacket is such that it will be removed. The Thistle jacket decommissioning activities have the potential to impact upon other users of the sea, but primarily the impacts would be associated with a situation where the jacket footings were to be decommissioned *in situ* and potentially interact with activities such as commercial fishing. However, the decommissioning of the jacket footings will be addressed in a separate Decommissioning Programme and so the environmental impacts associated with this are not addressed here.

Following a detailed review of the project activities, the environmental sensitivities of the Thistle area, industry experience and taking stakeholder concerns into account, it was determined that all aspects can be scoped out from further review except for the impact on nesting sea birds from decommissioning activities. This was considered further, and a summary of the impact assessment is provided in Table 4.2.1.

Table 4.2.1 Key potential impacts assessed			
Disturbance of nesting seabirds	ENVID	Impact Assessment	Significance
In recent years, there has been an increase in the number of seabirds utilising offshore installations for nesting. Opportunistic species such as kittiwake and herring gull are utilising artificial nest locations and successfully rearing chicks. However due to the location of Thistle being 140 km from the nearest coast, the number of breeding birds remains very low. To date there have been no sightings of nesting birds on Thistle. Decommissioning activities within the area of the Thistle jacket may result in the disturbance/abandonment of nests, if birds colonise the platform, where works or removal operations coincide with breeding periods of seabird species in UK waters. Visual surveys will be undertaken prior to disembarkation and where these surveys indicate nesting birds, measures will be put in place to minimise this to ALARP. This will include ensuring appropriate licences are in place and a bird management plan is active. EnQuest are fully aware of their responsibilities under the legislative expectations and requirements.	This aspect was not initially assessed within the ENVID, however, due to growing regulatory and stakeholder interest and concern EnQuest believe it to be good practice to assess this.	The risk of either loss of nesting habitat or abandonment of eggs / fledglings is sufficiently low and localised that the impact to the local population is considered temporary, highly localised and largely undetectable against natural variation. The consequence on seabird populations is ranked as low. If future nesting surveys are required, the results of these will also be taken into consideration. Where possible, scheduling to avoid bird breeding periods, along with deterrent measures will be considered to minimise this further.	Not significant

By following EnQuest's mitigation measures, the disturbance to nesting birds or forced nest abandonment will be reduced to As Low as Reasonably Practicable ('ALARP'). The consequence on seabird populations will be highly localised and generate a low impact to the local population through the relatively low predicted loss of nesting habitat. The overall impact of decommissioning activities on nesting seabirds is currently considered low and should this outcome change in the wake of any future survey effort, this will be communicated to OPRED.

EnQuest has considered the Scottish National Marine Plan ('NMP'), which has been adopted by the Scottish Government to help ensure sustainable development of the marine area and considers that the proposed decommissioning activities align with its objectives and policies.




Figure 4.2.1: Protected sites around Thistle

4.2.2 Anode sleds and anode pods

There will be some planned environmental impacts arising from the removal of the anode sleds and anode pods. Long-term environmental impacts from the decommissioning operations are expected to be low. Incremental cumulative impacts and transboundary effects associated with the planned decommissioning operations are also expected to be low.

Table 4.2.1: Environmental impacts		
Activity	Main impacts	Mitigations
Recovery of catenary riser for PL4555.	Recovery of the catenary riser for PL4555 will result in temporary disturbance of the seabed. The riser will be disconnected from the jacket and from the riser base and then recovered to the vessel. The length of the catenary riser is ~300m (1x). If a conservative buffer zone of ~10 m (~5m each side of the riser) is assumed, as a worst case the total area of seabed directly albeit temporarily impacted by fully laying down the PL4555 catenary riser onto the seabed would be ~3,000 m².	Refer "Seabed disturbance" in Table 4.2.1 below.
Recovery of anode sleds and anode pods	Recovery of the 22x anode sleds and 16x anode pods and associated 38x cables will result in temporary disturbance of the seabed. The cables will be disconnected from the jacket and then the anode sleds and anode pods will be recovered. This disturbance will include physical impact and the suspension of seabed sediment. Such disturbance will be of short duration and localised in nature to the anode sled, anode pods and cable laydown areas; some of the impacted areas will overlap but for the purposes of this assessment this aspect is ignored. Conservatively each anode sled is 2.4 m wide by 12.2 m long. If a conservative buffer zone of ~5 m is assumed all the way around each sled, the area of seabed impacted is 275.3 m² per anode sled. For 22x anode sleds the total area of seabed impacted would be 6,056 m². For the anode sleds, the average the length of each cable is assumed to be ~80 m (22x). For the temporary laydown of each cable, if a conservative buffer zone of ~10 m (~5m each side of the cable) is assumed for each cable, as a worst case the total area of seabed directly impacted by laydown of each cable on the adjacent seabed would be ~800 m². For 22x anode sleds the total area of seabed impacted by laydown of the cables would be 17,600 m². Conservatively each anode pod is 2.7 m wide by 2.7 m long. If a conservative buffer zone of ~5 m is assumed all the way around each pod, the area of seabed impacted is 161.3 m² per anode pod. For 16x anode pods the total area of seabed impacted would be 2,581 m². For the anode pods, the average the length of each cable is ~55.6m (16x). If a conservative buffer zone of ~10 m (~5m each side of the cable) is assumed for each cable, as a worst case the total area of seabed directly impacted by laydown of each cable would be ~556.3 m². For 16x anode pods the total area of seabed impacted by laydown of the cables would be 8,900 m². Using the foregoing calculations, conservatively the total area of seabed impacted by removal of the anode sleds, anode pods and associated cables would be 35,137 m². The indications are that the locations of the anode sleds and anode skids are such that their removal will not result in disturbance to the cuttings pile. However, should operational	Refer "Seabed disturbance" in Table 4.2.1 below.

Table 4.2.1: Environmental impacts		
Activity	Main impacts	Mitigations
	activities suggest that the cuttings pile will be impacted, OPRED will be consulted. The volumes and areas of seabed disturbed from removal of the anode sleds and anode pods will be localised to these areas with rapid faunal recolonisation of disturbed sediments expected. All seabed disturbance will result in direct physical effects which may include mortality as a result of physical trauma, smothering and re-suspended sediment. Any potential impacts will be fully assessed in the environmental assessments submitted in conjunction with the relevant environmental permit requirements.	

4.3 Impact management

Environmental sensitivities are listed in Appendix A.1. There will be some planned environmental impacts arising from decommissioning of the Thistle upper jacket, associated riser sections, anode sleds and anode pods.

Table 4.3.1: Key Control and Mitigation Measures	
Physical presence of infrastructure & vessels	
• All vessels will comply with standard marking conditions and consent to locate conditions. • Any interference is expected to take the form of minor alterations to normal operating practices. • If required, a simultaneous operations ('SIMOPS') plan for vessel activity in the field will be put in place, noting that a standard DSV SIMOPS Guideline already exists for the asset. • The jacket footings will remain <i>in situ</i> and subject to a separate Decommissioning Programme. UK Hydrographic Office and Kingfisher will be made aware of the jacket footings, which will be marked on Admiralty charts.	
Energy use & atmospheric emissions	
• Time vessels spend in the field will be optimised (estimated duration of 10 days heavy lift vessel), with a SIMOPS plan in place to ensure that emissions are reduced to a minimum. • Vessel contractor will be required to have an emissions reduction strategy in place. • Vessel contractor will ensure that fuel use is optimised and adopt strategies such as vessel sharing where possible.	
Underwater noise	
• A SIMOPS plan for vessel activity will be put in place and duration will be limited in the field. • Vessel and cutting operations will use standard methods and equipment. • Machinery and equipment will be in good working order and maintained to minimise noise impacts. • Cutting will be planned and optimised using proven technology such as diamond wire cutting tools, and will be temporary in duration. • No explosives will be used.	
Discharges to sea	
• All contracted vessels will operate in line with IMO and MARPOL regulations • All discharges will be permitted under applicable UK legislation.	
Accidental events	
• All contracted vessels will have a ship-board oil pollution emergency plan ('SOPEP') in place. • A Collision Risk Management Plan will be developed and implemented. • Agreed arrangements in place with oil spill response organisation to provide resources in event of a spill. • Lifting operations will be planned and recovery of dropped objects will be undertaken. • Vessel contractors will have procedures for fuel bunkering that meet EnQuest's standards. • Where practicable, re-fuelling will take place during daylight hours only.	
Dropped objects	
• Any dropped objects will be reported to OPRED via the PON2 system and will be captured in future documentation to OPRED. • Post decommissioning debris surveys and seabed verification will be described in the Decommissioning Programme for the jacket footings.	

Seabed disturbance

- There is no planned seabed disturbance anticipated as part of the removal of the upper jacket and the static risers, but there will be planned impacts associated with the removal of the catenary riser for PL4555, and the anode sleds, anode pods and associated electrical cables.
- Activities which lead to seabed disturbance will be planned, managed, and implemented in such a way that disturbance is minimised as far as practical, in practical terms, this includes controlled lowering of the catenary riser for PL4555 and the electrical cables associated with the anode sleds and anode pods at disconnect and during recovery through controlled manoeuvring, such that movement and resulting impact on the seabed is minimised.
- Decommissioning activities will be undertaken by vessels under dynamic positioning, such that anchors will not be deployed, thus minimising seabed disturbance,
- A Marine Licence will be in place for any planned operational disturbance and any potential impacts will be assessed and addressed in the environmental assessment submitted in conjunction with the marine licences.
- Seabed disturbance effects will be temporary with the footprint localised to areas of laydown activity. Debris survey undertaken on completion of the activities.
- Minimising disturbance to seabed from overtrawl through liaison with fishing organisations and regulator.

Waste

- Onshore treatment will take place at waste management site with appropriate permits and licenses.
- Reuse or recycling of materials will be the preferential option.
- UK waste disposal sites will be used where practicable, however where disposal is planned out with the UK, this will be undertaken in compliance with TFSW Regulations.

Following the environmental assessment and implementation of additional control and mitigation measures where necessary, the level of environmental risk from the planned and unplanned decommissioning operations, is **low**. In addition, any cumulative impacts limited to seabed disturbance have been assessed and considered to be **low**. Therefore, the decommissioning of the Thistle upper jacket installation and removal of the anode sleds and anode pods can be completed without causing significant impact to the environment.

5. INTERESTED PARTY CONSULTATIONS

5.1 Overview

EnQuest has consulted a wide range of interested parties during the decommissioning planning stages and compilation of the Decommissioning Programme. Initial feedback was provided from some initial consultations, and this was followed up with the Statutory Consultation.

During the public consultation period (20 June 2023 to 20 July 2023), copies of the Decommissioning Programmes and supporting documents were forwarded to the following Statutory Consultees:

- Global Marine Group (GMG)
- The National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO)
- The Northern Ireland Fish Producer's Organisation (NIFPO); and,
- The Scottish Fishermen's Federation (SFF).

A summary of the proposals was discussed with SFF 19 January 2023 in advance of the Statutory Consultation, with no adverse feedback arising.

Copies of the Decommissioning Programmes and supporting documents were made available as a download from the EnQuest website: www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning.

A bound copy was not sent to the local public library but via the Public Notice advice was provided to advise that a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programmes can be made available on request.

A public notice was published in the following local newspapers by:

- "The Times" on 20 June 2023
- "The (Edinburgh) Gazette" on 20 June 2023.

Please refer to Appendix B.1 for a copy of the public notices. The public notice gave instructions for representations to be made in writing by Thursday 20 July 2023. EnQuest received no comments or any written or verbal representation from the public in direct response to the public notice or during the public consultation period.

Copies of the Decommissioning Programme were also submitted to OPRED.

5.2 Consultation summary

Table 5.2.1 will be updated when the UK consultation phase is completed.

Table 5.2.1: Summary of stakeholder comments		
Stakeholder	Comment	Response
INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS		
SFF	A meeting was held with SFF 19 January 2023 where proposals for the severance height were presented and discussed.	No adverse comments were received, although SFF would provide comments in receipt of the formal Decommissioning Programme issued for the Statutory Consultation. SFF expressed a general concern over the fate of jacket footings which will be deliberated as separate submission.

Table 5.2.1: Summary of stakeholder comments		
Stakeholder	Comment	Response
CONSULTATIONS		
Stakeholder	Comment	Response
GMG	The Decommissioning Programme was sent to GMG via email on 20 June 2023.	No adverse comments received.
NFFO	The Decommissioning Programme was sent to MFFO via email on 20 June 2023/ A reminder was sent 07 July 2023.	No adverse comments received. NFFO usually refer to SFF when decommissioning proposals relate to Scottish Waters.
NIFPO	The Decommissioning Programme was sent to NIFPO via email on 20 June 2023	No adverse comments received.
SFF	The Decommissioning Programme was sent to SFF via email on 20 June 2023	No adverse comments received.
NSTA	EnQuest has engaged with the NSTA under s29(2A) of the Petroleum Act	No adverse comments received
Public	Public Notices were issued 20 June 2023.	No adverse comments received

6. PROGRAMME MANAGEMENT

6.1 Project management and verification

An EnQuest project management team will manage the operations of competent contractors selected for all decommissioning activities. The team will ensure the decommissioning is executed safely, in accordance with legislation and EnQuest HSEA Policy and Principles.

6.2 Post-decommissioning debris clearance and verification


Once the jacket has been removed to the top of the footings, an 'as-built' survey will be carried out to confirm that the height at which the jacket has been severed is in accordance with the approved Decommissioning Programme.

This Decommissioning Programme covers removal of the upper jacket including associated riser sections, and removal of the anode sleds and anode pods. Post decommissioning debris surveys and seabed verification will be described in the jacket footings Decommissioning Programmes and the pipeline infrastructure Decommissioning Programme.

6.3 Schedule

A proposed schedule is provided in Figure 6.3.1. The activities are subject to the acceptance of the Decommissioning Programme presented in this document and any unavoidable constraints (e.g., vessel availability) that may be encountered while executing the decommissioning activities. Therefore, activity schedule windows have been included to account for this uncertainty.

The commencement of offshore decommissioning activities will depend on commercial agreements and commitments.



Notes / Key

Earliest potential activity 

Activity window to allow commercial flexibility associated with well decommissioning and decommissioning activities 

Pipeline & topsides' decommissioning subject to separate Decommissioning Programmes. The topsides' Decommissioning Programme was approved 23 December 2021;

1. Post decommissioning surveys to follow completion of decommissioning activities;

2. Close out report within 1 year of completion of offshore activities.

Figure 6.3.1: Gantt-chart of project plan

6.4 Interim monitoring and evaluation

Following removal of the Thistle topsides there may be a period of time before the upper jacket is removed. During this time, the jacket will remain above sea level marked by a Navigational Aid that complies with Department for Energy Security and Net Zero standard marking schedule requirements. Throughout this phase of decommissioning and following completion the existing 500 m zone will remain in place. The Thistle Consent to Locate will be revised to reflect the change to the installation. EnQuest will undertake studies to understand the integrity status of the jacket and will share the outcomes of the studies with OPRED. Once the upper jacket has been removed, the jacket footings will be marked on FishSAFE. The UK Hydrographic Office and Kingfisher will be made aware of the presence of the footings, and these will be marked on Admiralty charts and mariners as required.

EnQuest will develop maintenance and monitoring procedures that will include remote monitoring, periodic maintenance and testing of the Navigational Aids in compliance with the Thistle Consent to Locate. The design, manufacture, installation, and maintenance of the navigational aids will be assured via an independent verification scheme and will be further defined in the Safety Case.

6.5 Costs

Decommissioning costs will be provided separately to OPRED.

6.6 Post-decommissioning monitoring and evaluation

The footings and associated riser sections that are left in place following the completion of the Upper Jacket and Associated Risers Decommissioning Programmes will remain the property and responsibility of the Section 29 holders identified in section 1.4.3 and will be subject to separate decommissioning programmes. Unless agreed otherwise in advance with OPRED, EnQuest will remain the focal point for this, including any change in ownership.

Following complete decommissioning of the Thistle field, EnQuest will carry out an environmental survey and a structural survey, centred on the Thistle jacket area. A copy of the survey results will be provided to OPRED.

6.7 Close out

After the upper jacket and associated riser sections, anode sled and anode pods have been removed, OPRED will be notified, and a decommissioning close out report will be submitted within 1 year following completion of offshore decommissioning activities.

Any variances from the approved Decommissioning Programme will be explained in the progress and close out reports.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] EnQuest (2021) Thistle Alpha Topsides Decommissioning Programme, M3525-ENQ-THI-DN-0000-REP-0004. Weblink last accessed 22 June 2022: [Thistle Topsides Final DP.pdf](#)
- [2] GeoXYZ (2021) Final Debris Clearance and Drill Cuttings Pile Survey Report, M3525-GXY-THI-SU-0000-REP-0003
- [3] GeoXYZ (2021) Final Drill Cuttings Pile Sampling Report, M3525-GXY-THI-SU-0000-REP-0006
- [4] GeoXYZ (2022) Thistle Final Environmental Baseline & HAS Survey Results Report, M3525-GXY-THI-DN-0000-REP-0008
- [5] OPRED (2018) Guidance Notes, Decommissioning of Offshore Oil and Gas Installations and Pipelines under the Petroleum Act 1998, Version 6, Department of Energy Security and Net Zero. Weblink last 19 May 2021: [OPRED Guidance Notes](#)
- [6] OSPAR (1998) Decision 98/3 on the Disposal of Disused Offshore Installations. Weblink last accessed 01 April 2020: <https://www.ospar.org/documents?d=32646>

APPENDIX A THISTLE BASELINE ENVIRONMENT

Appendix A.1 Summary of characteristics & sensitivities

Table A.1.1: Summary of environmental characteristics and sensitivities
Physical Environment: Thistle Alpha is in Block 211/18 and 211/19 of the NNS in water depth of approximately 162 m. Mean residual currents in the area are 0.26 m/s and are generally from the west. Prevailing winds are from the south or south-west.
Seabed Sediments and Contamination: Sediments in the NNS are predominantly sand and within the Thistle area are classified as sand, slightly gravelly sand and gravelly sand. MBES identifies a drill cuttings pile below the platform, and historical records of Oil Based Mud discharge will likely result in elevated levels of hydrocarbon contamination in the vicinity of the platform.
Fish: The Thistle field lies within the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea ('ICES') Rectangle 51F1. Thistle is known to have spawning grounds in the area for Cod (Jan-April), Haddock (Feb-May), Norway Pout (Jan-Mar), Saithe (Jan-Apr) and Whiting (Feb-June). The area is used as nursery grounds for Blue Whiting, Haddock, European Hake, Herring, Ling, Mackerel, Norway Pout, Spurdog and Whiting.
Benthic Communities: Surveys in 2007 and 2018 identified a generally diverse homogenous faunal community associated with sandy sediments. Visible fauna observed included <i>annelida</i> , <i>arthopoda</i> , <i>decapoda</i> , <i>bryozoa</i> , <i>cnidaria</i> and <i>echinoidea</i> typical of the area. It is expected that elevated levels of hydrocarbons close to the platform will lead to modified communities of hydrocarbon tolerant species. There was no evidence from seabed imagery of any protected habitats or species.
Plankton: The phytoplankton community is dominated by the dinoflagellate genus <i>Ceratium</i> (<i>C. fusus</i> , <i>C. furca</i> , <i>C. lineatum</i>), along with the diatoms, <i>Thalassiosira</i> spp. and <i>Chaetoceros</i> spp. The zooplankton community comprises <i>C. helgolandicus</i> and <i>C. finmarchicus</i> as well as <i>Paracalanus</i> spp., <i>Pseudocalanus</i> spp., <i>Acartia</i> spp., <i>Temora</i> spp. and <i>Oithona</i> spp. Larger zooplankton species such as euphausiids and decapod larvae are also important in the area.
Seabirds: The following species have been recorded in the wider area: Northern fulmar, Northern gannet, Great skua, Black-legged kittiwake, Arctic skua, Razorbill, European storm petrel, Great black-backed gull, Lesser black-backed gull, Herring gull, Common guillemot, Glaucous gull, Little auk, and Atlantic puffin. These seabirds are present for most of the year except October with overall numbers greatest in August and September. As is typical for the North Sea breeding occurs between April and September. Seabird sensitivity in the Thistle area is low for most of the year except for winter months (Nov-Jan) where it is classed as 'high'. The Thistle field is located ~201km North-East of Shetland and is remote for sensitive seabird breeding areas on the coast.
Marine Mammals: Harbour porpoise have been sighted in moderate densities in July and low densities in May and August, whilst both killer whales and minke whales have been sighted in moderate densities in July. Atlantic white-sided dolphin, Risso's dolphin and long-finned pilot whale may be considered occasional visitors.
Conservation Designations: There are no designated conservation sites close to Thistle, with the nearest being the Pobie Bank Reef Sites of Community Importance (103 km south-west), the North-East Faroe-Shetland Channel Nature Conservation Marine Protected Area (97 km north-west).
Commercial Fisheries: The project area lies within ICES rectangle 51F1. Commercial fishing activity within this area is medium to high in comparison with other areas. Landings are a combination of demersal, pelagic and shellfish species representing 0.19% of total UK fishing value in 2018.
Shipping: Shipping density within the area is low, with any traffic associated with oil and gas developments or cargo vessels.
Other Offshore Industries: Thistle is in the northern North Sea oil and gas development area with several fields nearby (Figure 1.6.2).
Other Users of the Sea: The closest submarine telecommunication cable is the CANTAT-3 telecommunications cable owned by Faroese Telecom within 1km to the south-west of Thistle. There are no Ministry of Defence exercise areas or danger areas nearby that might be used for military training. There is only one wreck located within Block 211/18.

APPENDIX B CONSULTEE CORRESPONDENCE

Appendix B.1 Public Notices

ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

ENERGY

ENQUEST HEATHER LIMITED

PETROLEUM ACT 1998

THISTLE ALPHA UPPER JACKET DECOMMISSIONING

EnQuest Heather Limited has submitted, for the consideration of the Secretary of State for Department for Energy Security & Net Zero, a draft Decommissioning Programme for the upper jacket of the Thistle Alpha located in UK Block 211/18a of the Northern North Sea Sector of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. In accordance with the provisions of the Petroleum Act 1998, it is a requirement of the Act that interested parties be consulted on such decommissioning proposals.

The facilities covered by the Decommissioning Programme are:

The Thistle Alpha platform is located approximately 201km North-East of Shetland.

EnQuest Heather Limited hereby gives notice that the Decommissioning Programme for Thistle Alpha upper jacket can be viewed at the following internet address:

www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning

Alternatively, a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programme can be requested from Diana Muriel at <mailto:Diana.Muriel@enquest.com>.

Interested parties are kindly requested to submit any representations in writing or electronically by 20 July 2023 to the following address for the attention of Diana Muriel:

EnQuest Heather Limited

Annan House

Palmerston Road

Aberdeen

AB11 5QP

Date 20 June 2023.

(4381163)

EDINBURGH GAZETTE

THE TIMES | Tuesday June 20 2023 47

Legal Notices

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
BUSINESS AND PROPERTY COURTS OF
ENGLAND AND WALES
COMPANIES COURT (ChD) CR-2023-801159
IN THE MATTER OF
METRO BANK HOLDINGS PLC
- and -
IN THE MATTER OF
THE COMPANIES ACT 2006
NOTICE IS GIVEN that the Order of the High Court of
Justice (Chancery Division) dated 6 June 2023 confirming
the reduction of share capital of the above-named
Company and the statement of capital approved by the
Court showing with respect to the capital of the said
Company as altered the several particulars required by the
Companies Act 2006, were registered by the Registrar of
Companies on 6 June 2023.
Dated 20 June 2023
LINKLATERS LLP (J Sadler)
One Silk Street, London EC2Y 8HQ
Solicitors for the above-named company

ENQUEST HEATHER LIMITED PETROLEUM ACT 1998 THISTLE ALPHA UPPER JACKET DECOMMISSIONING

EnQuest Heather Limited has submitted, for the consideration of the Secretary of State for Department for Energy Security & Net Zero, a draft Decommissioning Programme for the upper jacket of the Thistle Alpha located in UK Block 211/18a of the Northern North Sea Sector of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. In accordance with the provisions of the Petroleum Act 1998, it is a requirement of the Act that interested parties be consulted on such decommissioning proposals.

The facilities covered by the Decommissioning Programme are:
The Thistle Alpha platform is located approximately 201km North-East of Shetland.

EnQuest Heather Limited hereby gives notice that the Decommissioning Programme for Thistle Alpha upper jacket can be viewed at the following internet address:

www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning

Alternatively, a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programme can be requested from Diana Muriel at <mailto:Diana.Muriel@enquest.com>.

Interested parties are kindly requested to submit any representations in writing or electronically by 20 July 2023 to the following address for the attention of Diana Muriel:

EnQuest Heather Limited

Annan House

Palmerston Road

Aberdeen

AB11 5QP

Date 20 June 2023.

Figure B.1.1: The Edinburgh Gazette (L), The Times (R) (20 June 2023)

Appendix B.1 GMG – Mr Alex Riddell, via email

From: Riddell, Alex

Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 8:41 AM

To: Diana Muriel

Cc: Kerry Langworthy, Simon Axon; Victoria Rodriguez; Chloe Morris

Subject: RE: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation

Good morning, Diana,

Many thanks for providing information associated with the decommissioning of Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket.

When reviewing the consultation draft document online, I note that the closest submarine telecommunication cable is the CANTAT-3 telecommunications cable owned by Faroese Telecom within 1km to the south-west of Thistle. Given the relatively close proximity (and if you have not done so already) it will be worth contacting the owner of the cable system to ensure that they are content with the proposed decommissioning operations?

Contact details of the cable owners can be sourced from <https://kis-orca.org/map/>

Kind regards, Alex Riddell

=====

From: Diana Muriel

Sent: 20 June 2023 09:42

To: Alex Riddell

Cc: Kerry Langworthy; Simon Axon; Victoria Rodriguez

Subject: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation

Dear Mr Riddell

I am writing to advise that EnQuest Heather Limited has submitted, for the consideration of the Secretary of State for Department for Energy Security & Net Zero, a draft Decommissioning Programme for the upper jacket of the Thistle Alpha located in UK Block 211/18a of the Northern North Sea Sector of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. In accordance with the provisions of the Petroleum Act 1998, it is a requirement of the Act that interested parties be consulted on such decommissioning proposals.

The facility covered by the Decommissioning Programme is the Thistle Alpha platform, located approximately 201km North-East of Shetland.

EnQuest Heather Limited hereby gives notice that the Decommissioning Programme for Thistle Alpha upper jacket can be viewed at the following internet address: www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning

Alternatively, a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programme can be requested from myself at REDACTED.

You are kindly requested to submit any representations in writing either electronically or at the address given below, for my attention by 20 July 2023.

Best regards, Diana, Diana Muriel, Group Communications Manager

Appendix B.2 NFFO – Mr Ian Rowe, via email

No feedback was received from NFFO, but usually NFFO will defer to SFF when decommissioning activities would be occurring in Scottish Waters.

=====
From: Diana Muriel

Sent: 20 June 2023, 09:42

To: Ian Rowe

Cc: Chris Traves, Kerry Langworthy; Simon Axon; Victoria Rodriguez

Subject: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation

Dear Mr Rowe

I am writing to advise that EnQuest Heather Limited has submitted, for the consideration of the Secretary of State for Department for Energy Security & Net Zero, a draft Decommissioning Programme for the upper jacket of the Thistle Alpha located in UK Block 211/18a of the Northern North Sea Sector of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. In accordance with the provisions of the Petroleum Act 1998, it is a requirement of the Act that interested parties be consulted on such decommissioning proposals.

The facility covered by the Decommissioning Programme is the Thistle Alpha platform, located approximately 201km North-East of Shetland.

EnQuest Heather Limited hereby gives notice that the Decommissioning Programme for Thistle Alpha upper jacket can be viewed at the following internet address: www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning

Alternatively, a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programme can be requested from myself at REDACTED.

You are kindly requested to submit any representations in writing either electronically or at the address given below, for my attention by 20 July 2023.

Best regards, Diana, Diana Muriel, Group Communications Manager

Appendix B.3 NIFPO – Mr Wayne Sloan, via email

From: Wayne Sloan

Sent: 7 July 2023, 4:08 PM

To: Diana Muriel

Cc: Harry Wick; Simon Axon; Kerry Langworthy; Victoria Rodriguez

Subject: Re: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation close date 20 July

Hi Diana,

Many thanks for the reminder. After reviewing the documents I have no comment to make on the projects.

Kind Regards

Wayne Sloan.

=====

From: Diana Muriel

Sent: 20 June 2023 09:42

To: Wayne Sloan

Cc: Kerry Langworthy; Simon Axon; Victoria Rodriguez

Subject: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation

Dear Mr Sloan,

I am writing to advise that EnQuest Heather Limited has submitted, for the consideration of the Secretary of State for Department for Energy Security & Net Zero, a draft Decommissioning Programme for the upper jacket of the Thistle Alpha located in UK Block 211/18a of the Northern North Sea Sector of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. In accordance with the provisions of the Petroleum Act 1998, it is a requirement of the Act that interested parties be consulted on such decommissioning proposals.

The facility covered by the Decommissioning Programme is the Thistle Alpha platform, located approximately 201km North-East of Shetland.

EnQuest Heather Limited hereby gives notice that the Decommissioning Programme for Thistle Alpha upper jacket can be viewed at the following internet address: www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning

Alternatively, a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programme can be requested from myself at REDACTED.

You are kindly requested to submit any representations in writing either electronically or at the address given below, for my attention by 20 July 2023.

Best regards, Diana, Diana Muriel, Group Communications Manager

Appendix B.4 SFF – Mr Steven Alexander & Mr Andrew Third

From: Mohammad Fahim Hashimi

Sent: 14 July 2023, 10:45 AM

To: Diana Muriel

Cc: Steven Alexander; Simon Axon; Kerry Langworthy; Victoria Rodriguez; Andrew Innes; Elspeth Macdonald

Subject: Re: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation

Dear Diana,

Thank you very much for sharing this consultation opportunity with SFF.

SFF have no adverse comment on this particular DP.

Best wishes, Fahim Mohammad Hashimi, Offshore Energy Policy Officer

=====

From: Diana Muriel

Sent: 20 June 2023 09:41

To: Mohammed Fahim Hashimi

Cc: Steven Alexander; Andrew Third; Kerry Langworthy; Simon Axon; Victoria Rodriguez

Subject: EnQuest Heather Limited - Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket Decommissioning - Statutory Consultation

Dear Mr Hashimi,

I am writing to advise that EnQuest Heather Limited has submitted, for the consideration of the Secretary of State for Department for Energy Security & Net Zero, a draft Decommissioning Programme for the upper jacket of the Thistle Alpha located in UK Block 211/18a of the Northern North Sea Sector of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. In accordance with the provisions of the Petroleum Act 1998, it is a requirement of the Act that interested parties be consulted on such decommissioning proposals.

The facility covered by the Decommissioning Programme is the Thistle Alpha platform, located approximately 201km North-East of Shetland.

EnQuest Heather Limited hereby gives notice that the Decommissioning Programme for Thistle Alpha upper jacket can be viewed at the following internet address: www.enquest.com/operations/uk-decommissioning

Alternatively, a digital or hardcopy of the Decommissioning Programme can be requested from myself at diana.muriel@enquest.com.

You are kindly requested to submit any representations in writing either electronically or at the address given below, for my attention by 20 July 2023.

Best regards, Diana, Diana Muriel, Group Communications Manager

APPENDIX C JACKET SCHEMATICS

Appendix C.1 Jacket gridline 2




Figure C.1.1: Thistle jacket gridline 2

Decommissioning Programmes for Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Sections

Appendix C.2 Jacket gridline 9




Figure C.2.1: Thistle jacket gridline 9

APPENDIX D PARTNER LETTERS OF SUPPORT

Appendix D.1 Britoil Limited

Docusign Envelope ID: 7CB53906-1130-4699-AE72-BFF10D5FD4B6



Britoil Limited
1 Wellheads Avenue
Dyce
Aberdeenshire
AB21 7PB

Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning

AB1 Building
Crimon Place
Aberdeen
AB10 1BJ

17th July 2025

Section 29 Notice Holder Letter of Support

Dear Sir or Madam

THISTLE ALPHA UPPER JACKET AND ASSOCIATED RISER SECTIONS DECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMMES PETROLEUM ACT 1998

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 2nd July 2025.

We, Britoil Limited confirm that we authorise EnQuest Heather Limited to submit on our behalf abandonment programmes relating to the Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Decommissioning Programme as directed by the Secretary of State on 2nd July 2025.

We confirm that we support the proposals detailed in the Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Decommissioning Programme dated 3rd July 2025, which is to be submitted by EnQuest Heather Limited in so far as they relate to those facilities in respect of which we are required to submit an abandonment programme under section 29 of the Petroleum Act 1998.

Yours faithfully

Signed by:
A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Matthew Duncan'.

885081215AB44E6...
Matthew Duncan
Decom Finance Advisor, bp North Sea

For and on behalf of Britoil Limited

Britoil Limited, Company No. SC077750
Registered Office: 1 Wellheads Avenue, Dyce, Aberdeenshire, AB21 7PB

Decommissioning Programmes for Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and
Associated Riser Sections



Appendix D.2 Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited

Harbour Energy
Upper Denburn House
Kingswells
Aberdeen, AB15 8PU
harbourenergy.com



Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning
AB1 Building (Wing C)
Crimon Place
Aberdeen
AB10 1BJ

10th July 2025

Dear Sir/Madam

**PETROLEUM ACT 1998
THISTLE ALPHA UPPER JACKET AND ASSOCIATED RISER SECTIONS
DECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMMES**

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 2nd July 2025.

We, Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited, confirm that we authorise EnQuest Heather Limited to submit on our behalf abandonment programmes relating to the Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Sections, as directed by the Secretary of State on 2nd July 2025.

We confirm that we support the proposals detailed in the "Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Sections Decommissioning Programmes" dated 3rd July 2025, which are to be submitted by EnQuest Heather Limited in so far as they relate to those facilities in respect of which we are required to submit an abandonment programme under Section 29 of the Petroleum Act 1998.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike Burnett".
Michael Burnett (Jul 10, 2025 14:39 GMT)

Mike Burnett
Senior Manager - Decommissioning

For and on behalf of Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited (Company Number 00524868)

Registered Office: 4th Floor, Saltire Court, 20 Castle Terrace, Edinburgh, EH1 2EN

Company No. SC234781

Appendix D.3 EnQuest Thistle Limited



Section 29 Notice Holder Letter of Support

Offshore Petroleum Regulator for Environment and Decommissioning

AB1 Building
Crimon Place
Aberdeen
AB10 1BJ

10th July 2025

Charles House
2nd Floor
5-11 Regent Street
London
SW1Y 4LR
United Kingdom

T +44 (0)20 7925 4900
F +44 (0)20 7925 4936
www.enquest.com

Dear Sir or Madam

THISTLE ALPHA UPPER JACKET AND ASSOCIATED RISER SECTIONS
DECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMMES PETROLEUM ACT 1998

We acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 2nd July 2025.

We, EnQuest Thistle Limited confirm that we authorise EnQuest Heather Limited to submit on our behalf abandonment programmes relating to the Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Decommissioning Programme as directed by the Secretary of State on 2nd July 2025.

We confirm that we support the proposals detailed in the Thistle Alpha Upper Jacket and Associated Riser Decommissioning Programme dated 3rd July 2025, which is to be submitted by EnQuest Heather Limited in so far as they relate to those facilities in respect of which we are required to submit an abandonment programme under section 29 of the Petroleum Act 1998.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'I. Wood'.

Ian Wood
Director

For and on behalf of EnQuest Thistle Limited